DOM APT Reviewer Checklist Investigator Track ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR

Candidate Name:

Submission Type: Select Submission Type

- □ Appointment
- □ Promotion
- □ Tenure

Site: Select a Site

Review Date: Click or tap to enter a date.

Division: Select a Division Secondary Department: N/A

Current Rank: Select Rank Current Track: Select Track Proposed Rank: Select Rank Proposed Track: Select Track

Reviewer Name: Select Reviewer

<u>SUMMARY</u> (In 5 sentences or less, outline the faculty member's background and expertise to provide context to the evaluation)

		Supporting Evidence For each selected criterion, provide supporting evidence in the adjacent space. You may copy-paste directly from the source document or specify the page number and section heading. Include source details such as CV or Chair Statement.
OVERVIEW Across all ranks	 Faculty with primary responsibilities in innovation and discovery through original research (traditional academic scholars) or subject-area experts who conduct ground-breaking work outside the academia. Demonstrates commitment to expanding the body of biomedical knowledge and disseminate findings. Must have an independent research program. 	
	For Appointment (Only complete for Appointments, otherwise go to For Promotion section) Candidates from the academia:	

	Supporting Fuidouse
	Supporting Evidence For each selected criterion, provide supporting evidence in the adjacent space. You may copy-paste directly from the source document or specify the page number and section heading. Include source details such as CV or Chair Statement.
Expected to have publications in peer-reviewed journals as first, last or significant contributions as a middle author.	
□ Has peer-reviewed extramural support for their work.	
Presents research findings at professional conference/other academic centers.	
Should have a record of contributions as excellent teachers and mentors of medical and graduate students, residents and fellows.	
Excellent physician (if engaged in clinical care).	
Recruits from industry or non-academic institutions	
 Performs pioneering work in their field of expertise as shown by: (Select all that apply) Patents and commercialization of discoveries. 	
 Development and leadership of programs. Dissemination of information about their work. Recognition as thought leaders. 	
 Demonstrates Impactful contributions through: (Select all the apply) Publications Funding support Patents and other forms of productivity 	
Complete this part if candidate is FOR PROMOTION:	
Must have evidence of scholarly output that includes high quality publications, acquisition of extramural funding, independent research program and evidence of innovation.	
Reputation as an expert in his/her fields is expected to broaden over time.	
 Expected to have a record of increasing service and leadership within and beyond the institution. (Select all that apply) Demonstrates significant participation/ contributions to key institutional committees critical to the school and affiliated hospitals' mission (e.g. IRB, Admissions committee, Medical Board). 	

	 Outstanding service in a significant administrative role (e.g. Vice-Chair, training program director). (Considered a plus) Excellent teacher and/or mentor of medical and graduate students, residents and fellows. (Considered a plus) Excellent physician (if engaged in clinical care). 	Supporting Evidence For each selected criterion, provide supporting evidence in the adjacent space. You may copy-paste directly from the source document or specify the page number and section heading. Include source details such as CV or Chair Statement.
Comment		
OVERVIEW Associate Professor	 Nationally recognized for accomplishments. Recognized by the School and Department as having potential for continued growth and promise for eventual promotion to Professor in the Investigator Track. For Initial Appointment (Only complete for Appointments, otherwise go to For Promotion section) For traditional academic scholars: acknowledged for their research and/or teaching with a record of scholarly contributions that are original and widely known. If non-academic candidates: evidence or record of innovative thinking that may be reflected in concepts or products developed that are widely known, used and/or studied and are or may prove to be highly influential. For Promotion Meets standard academic criteria such as publications, extramural funding, etc. 	
Comment		
Preliminary Decision	Does this candidate meet overview criteria for this track? Yes No If no, then stop and contact DOM APT Administrative Staff (DOMFacAffairs@mssm.edu)	
INNOVATION	 Recognized in their fields as an expert innovator and creative thinker. Evidence of innovation will vary with discipline but may include: (Select all that apply) Novel approaches to problem solving Product discovery and/or development Patents and patent applications Commercialization of inventions New and collaborative programs 	

3

		Supporting Evidence For each selected criterion, provide supporting evidence in the adjacent space. You may copy-paste directly from the source document or specify the page number and section heading. Include source details such as CV or Chair Statement.
Comment		
RESEARCH	For Appointment (Only complete for Appointments, otherwise go to For Promotion section) Recruits/candidates in basic, clinical, or translational research: Possess a record of sustained success in obtaining extramural grants from NIH and other agencies. Recruits/candidates from industry or non-academic organizations: Alternative evidence of research recognition: (Select all that apply) Contributes to drug development, discovery or development of new technologies, patents and other innovative research approaches. Evidence of long tenure and leadership roles within a company Has research support from private foundations and/or the candidate's home institution. (Considered a plus) Shows stature in the field such as participation in professional consultative bodies like NIH study sections. (Considered a plus) For promotion:	
	☐ Candidates for promotion are expected to be successful in obtaining extramural funding from agencies such as the NIH and/or other federal	
Comment	agencies	1
SCHOLARSHIP	Chows ovidence or record of significant contributions	
SCHOLANSHIP	 Shows evidence or record of significant contributions relating to the innovative conceptualization of or novel solutions to in biomedical research, relevant disciplines, or education. <u>Note:</u> Consideration will be given both to quality of work and the candidate's role in publications and other forms of dissemination; for middle authorship on publication, it is essential for candidates to annotate their contributions <i>(Select all that apply)</i> 	

		Supporting Evidence For each selected criterion, provide supporting evidence in the adjacent space. You may copy-paste directly from the source document or specify the page number and section heading. Include source details such as CV or Chair Statement.
	 Original contributions in excellent journals for educators (required for those whose scholarly work is in education). Invited presentations at national meetings (may be considered as further evidence of recognition in field of expertise). Contributions to textbook chapters, analytic studies, development of teaching materials, and faculty development efforts 	
Comment		
EDUCATION & MENTORING	 Excellent teacher and role model at various levels of learners (students, house staff, postdoc fellows, junior faculty and/or other junior colleagues). (Select all that apply) Conducts courses and guided entrepreneurial activities to impart knowledge of innovation and commercialization to trainees. Engages in mentorship activities primarily on an individual basis. Involved in program oversight or mentoring leadership. Participates in curriculum development and innovative educational methods. 	
Comment		
SERVICE, PROFESSIONALISM & CITIZENSHIP	 Shows a solid record of professionalism and good citizenship within the institution with evidence of important contributions as a faculty member in furthering the missions of the department/institute, School and Hospital. 	
	 Participates in institutional committees with emerging evidence of leadership abilities to be considered a plus. Actively involved in professional organizations as a 	
	committee member or officer. Service on study sections and editorial boards. (Considered a plus)	
Comment		
IMPORTANT CONSIDERATIONS	 Appointment and Term: Appointment and promotion are upon recommendation subsequent approval by the Committee on Appoint 	

		Supporting Evidence
		For each selected criterion, provide
		supporting evidence in the adjacent space.
		You may copy-paste directly from the
		source document or specify the page
		number and section heading. Include source details such as CV or Chair
		Statement.
	• For untenured Professors, the initial term can be	
	Reappointment and Non-Reappointment:	
	The Chair will provide notice of reappointment or non-reappointment.	
	 Terms for reappointed untenured can be 1, 2, 3, or 4 years. Reappointment to a term longer than 1 year requires review and approval by the Office of 	
	• Reappointment to a term longer than 1 year requ	res review and approval by the Office of
		0
	Maximum aggregate time for untenured faculty is 9 years.	
	• If a decision to reappoint is made during a term extension, the reappointment notice must	
	be in writing from the Chair. The reappointment will commence retroactive to the first day	
	following completion of original term.	
	Notice of non-reappointment is minimum 6 months on 1 or 2-year terms; minimum one-	
	year notice on terms more than 2 years.	
	Tenure:	
	Associate Professors in the Investigator Track are eligible to be considered for tenure at any	
	time, including at the time of appointment/promotion to this rank.	
	• Eminently qualified faculty should be considered for tenure at the earliest possible time.	
	If an untenured Associate Professor is a candidate for reappointment, consideration for	
	tenure will take place simultaneously with the reappointment process.	
	Fulfill all of the requirements for Associate Professor.	
	• Demonstrate the ability for continued superior productivity and leadership in their field.	
	Demonstrate excellence in the dissemination of k	nowledge.
	Tenure recommendations are initiated by the Cha	ir and require approval by the Committee
	on Appointments, Promotions and Tenure.	
TRACK SWITCH	Track switching permitted after initial appointment or promotion.	
CRITERIA	Requires compelling reasons, Chair's recommend	ation, and Dean's approval.
	Limited to highly productive faculty contributing t	
	□ Robust scholarly productivity (CE/SE track?)	
	☐ Multiple teaching roles like Program Director, associate program director, director of education	
	programs	
L		

6

*If incomplete, template will be returned

Reviewer Decision

UNDECIDED (Requires Discussion)

□ Support Request for Appointment/Promotion

□ Deny Request for Appointment/Promotion

□ Support Request for Tenure

Deny Request for Tenure

Other reviewer recommendations:

Track Switch:
Yes No

If Yes, select Track: Select a Track	
Secondary appointments in other departments: If yes, select Department: Select a Department	□ Yes □ No
Committee Decision	
Support Request for Appointment/Promotion	
Deny Request for Appointment/Promotion	
Support Request for Tenure	
Deny Request for Tenure	
Other committee comments:	
Track Switch: 🗆 Yes 🛛 No	
If Yes, select Track: Select a Track	
Secondary appointments in other departments:	🗆 Yes 🗆 No
If yes, select Department: Select a Department	
□ Call division chief	
🗆 Check with APT committee chair Dr. Jonathan Ha	Ilperin
FINAL DECISION: Choose an item.	
DENY REQUEST - Reason for Denial: 1.	
2.	
3.	
Recommended CV edits (Note: this will be forwarded directly	y to the candidate so please provide full sentences):
1. 2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	
Additional Comments for APT Chair/Administrative Staff:	
1.	
2.	
RESUBMISSION COMMENTS	

Initial Date Submitted: Click or tap to enter a date.

For APT Committee Leadership Use only