## DOM APT Reviewer Checklist Research Track ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR

Candidate Name:			
Submission Type: Selo  Appointment  Promotion  Tenure	ect Submission Type Review [	Date: Click or tap to enter a date.	
Site: Select a Site		Select a Division ry Department: N/A	
Current Rank: Select Rank  Current Track: Select Track		Proposed Rank: Select Rank Proposed Track: Select Track	
Reviewer Name: Sele	ct Reviewer		
SUMMARY (In 5 senter	nces or less, outline the faculty member's background ar	nd expertise to provide context to the evaluation)	
		Supporting Evidence For each selected criterion, provide supporting evidence in the adjacent space. You may copy-paste directly from the source document or specify the page number and section heading. Include source details such as CV or Chair Statement.	
OVERVIEW Across all ranks	<ul> <li>□ Scientist who is a key member of a research to support the activities or multiple investigator. Shared Resource Facility (SRF), or play a substinstitutional leadership role that broadly supthe institution's scientific enterprise.</li> <li>□ Contributes to innovative and entrepreneuriate to his/her research programs and to the School Note: A direct independent research program required.</li> <li>For Initial Appointment: (Only complete for Appoint otherwise go to For Promotion section)</li> <li>Candidates from academia:</li> <li>□ Expected to have a record of role in publication</li> </ul>	al ways pool. In is not	

	Supporting Evidence For each selected criterion, provide supporting evidence in the adjacent space. You may copy-paste directly from the source document or specify the page number and section heading. Include source details such as CV or Chair
	Statement.
<ul> <li>☐ Record of role in grant acquisition.</li> <li>Candidates from non-academic backgrounds:</li> <li>Based on metrics relevant to their field and professional background</li> <li>May provide evidence of: (Select all that apply)</li> <li>☐ Participation in high-impact research</li> <li>☐ Patents or commercialization of discoveries</li> <li>☐ Program development and leadership</li> <li>☐ Dissemination of their work</li> </ul>	
For promotion:	
Evidence of publication including first and last authorship positions as well as significant contributions as middle author.	
☐ Funding support is mainly from extramural-funded grants. (Rare exceptions)	
<ul> <li>□ Evidence of substantial research activities (vary with role)         (Select all that apply)         □ For Key Research team member or SRF directors:             must show evidence of increasing contributions to and scholarship in area of expertise.         □ Evidence of a defined, key role in acquisition of grant funding.         □ Demonstrable impact on the research environment in the School (e.g. leading policy development and implementation; or identifying and overseeing provision of new equipment, technology and services broadly accessible to school users).</li> </ul>	
Shows expanding external recognition of expertise and accomplishments.	
<ul> <li>□ Expected to demonstrate increasing service and leadership in administrative and policy-making functions of the institution and the broader scientific and medical communities. (Select all that apply)</li> <li>□ Substantial contributions to committees critical to the school's mission and affiliated hospitals (e.g. institutional biosafety committee). (Considered a plus)</li> </ul>	

		Supporting Evidence
		For each selected criterion, provide
		supporting evidence in the adjacent space.
		You may copy-paste directly from the
		source document or specify the page
		number and section heading. Include source details such as CV or Chair
		Statement.
	☐ Outstanding service in administrative leadership	
	role (e.g. Vice-Chair, program Director, or Dean).	
	(Considered a plus)	
Comment	(considered a plas)	
OVERVIEW	Voy mamber of a research team serving a critically	
Associate Professor	☐ Key member of a research team serving a critically	
7.000010001	important function in a SRF, a departmental core	
	facility/research program, or have an influential	
	institutional role that advances the School's research	
	mission.	
	☐ Expected to play an important role to obtaining	
	funding for research projects of multiple	
	investigators.	
	☐ Expected to have publications that demonstrate	
	contributions to the body of scientific knowledge by	
	their research team or their core facility.	
	☐ May be involved in developing or implementing	
	innovative approaches in their areas of expertise.	
	☐ Recognized <b>beyond the institution</b> for contributions	
	to the success of a large research program or	
	leadership of a core facility.	
Comment		L
Preliminary Decision		12 Du Du
Tremmary Decision	Does this candidate meet overview criteria for this trac	
	If no, then stop and contact DOM APT Administrative S	Staff (DOMFacAffairs@mssm.edu)
SERVICE,	Possess a strong record of professionalism and good	
PROFESSSIONALISM,	citizenship.	
MENTORING &	☐ Demonstrates commitment to service within the	
CITIZENSHIP	department, school, health systems or external	
	organizations by active participation in committees	
	and fulfillment of administrative responsibilities.	
	☐ Excellent role model and mentor to faculty,	
	postdoctoral fellows and staff.	
Comment	postuottorai reilows and staff.	
Comment IMPORTANT	Appointment and Term	
CONSIDERATIONS	Appointment and promotion to the rank of Association	ata Professor is upon recommendation
CONSIDERATIONS		
	by the Department Chair with subsequent approval by the Committee on Appointments, Promotions and Tenure.	
	<ul> <li>The term of appointment can be 1, 2 or 3 years.</li> </ul>	
	Reappointment and Non-Reappointment:	
	The production of the position of the production	

		Supporting Evidence For each selected criterion, provide supporting evidence in the adjacent space. You may copy-paste directly from the source document or specify the page number and section heading. Include source details such as CV or Chair Statement.
	<ul> <li>In the absence of an employment contract, the Chaor non-reappointment.</li> <li>Terms can be 1, 2, or 3 years.</li> <li>Reappointment to a term longer than 1 year require the Dean.</li> <li>No limit on reappointments.</li> <li>If a decision to reappoint a faculty member is made the reappointment notice must be in writing from commence retroactive to the first day following compointment.</li> </ul>	res review and approval by the Office of e during a term extension as described, the Chair. The reappointment will
	<ul> <li>In the event of non-reappointment, the Chair will perform months on 1 or 2-year terms; Minimum one-year in Tenure:         <ul> <li>Under exceptional circumstances, Associate Professionsidered for tenure.</li> <li>Must exceed the requirements for appointment or Career must reflect continuous and sustained acco</li> <li>Must have a record of independent research (whet leader of an SRF.</li> <li>Must show evidence by extramural funding supporting Must be nationally recognized for their contribution.</li> <li>The recommendation of exceptionally qualified factime by the Chair.</li> <li>Requires approval by the Committee on Appointment Promotion:</li> <li>The Chair may, at any time, recommend exceptions.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	sors in the Research Track may be promotion for this rank and track. mplishment. ther a member of a research team or and original publications. Instead to biomedical research. Fully for tenure may be made at any ents, Promotions and Tenure.
	the rank of Research Professor.	<u> </u>
TRACK SWITCH CRITERIA	<ul><li>☐ No Federal Funding</li><li>☐ Expired Grant Funding</li></ul>	
*If incomplete, temple		
Reviewer Decision	D (Requires Discussion)	

Reviewer Decision

UNDECIDED (Requires Discussion)

Support Request for Appointment/Promotion

Deny Request for Appointment/Promotion

Support Request for Tenure

Deny Request for Tenure

Ver Tenure

Other reviewer recommendations:

Track Switch: Yes No

	If Yes, select Track: Select a Track
	Secondary appointments in other departments:
Comm	ittee Decision  Support Request for Appointment/Promotion  Deny Request for Appointment/Promotion  Support Request for Tenure
	☐ Deny Request for Tenure
Other	committee comments: Track Switch:   Yes   No  If Yes, select Track: Select a Track
	Secondary appointments in other departments: ☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, select Department: Select a Department
	<ul><li>□ Call division chief</li><li>□ Check with APT committee chair Dr. Jonathan Halperin</li></ul>
FINAL	DECISION: Choose an item.
□den	IY REQUEST - Reason for Denial:
	1.
	2.
	3.
senten	mended CV edits (Note: this will be forwarded directly to the candidate so please provide full ces):
1. 2.	
2. 3.	
4.	
5.	
Additic	onal Comments for APT Chair/Administrative Staff:
1.	
2.	

## **RESUBMISSION COMMENTS**

<u>Initial Date Submitted:</u> Click or tap to enter a date.

For APT Committee Leadership Use only