DOM APT Reviewer Checklist Research Track ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR

Candidate Name:			
Submission Type: Sele Appointment Promotion Tenure	ect Submission Type Review	Date: Click or tap to enter a date.	
Site: Select a Site		n: Select a Division ary Department: N/A	
Current Rank: Select Rank Current Track: Select Track		Proposed Rank: Select Rank Proposed Track: Select Track	
Reviewer Name: Sele	ct Reviewer		
SUMMARY (In 5 senter	nces or less, outline the faculty member's background o	and expertise to provide context to the evaluation)	
		Supposition Feldung	
		Supporting Evidence For each selected criterion, provide supporting evidence in the adjacent space. You may copy-paste directly from the source document or specify the page number and section heading. Include source details such as CV or Chair Statement.	
OVERVIEW Across all ranks	 □ Scientist who is a key member of a research support the activities or multiple investigated. Shared Resource Facility (SRF), or play a subsinstitutional leadership role that broadly supthe institution's scientific enterprise. □ Contributes to innovative and entrepreneur to his/her research programs and to the Schnote: A direct independent research programs required. 	ors or a estantial epports rial ways nool. m is not	
	<u>For Initial Appointment:</u> (Only complete for Appointments go to <u>For Promotion</u> section) <u>Candidates from academia:</u> □ Expected to have a record of role in publication		

	Supporting Evidence For each selected criterion, provide supporting evidence in the adjacent space. You may copy-paste directly from the source document or specify the page number and section heading. Include source details such as CV or Chair Statement.
□ Record of role in grant acquisition. Candidates from non-academic background: Based on metrics relevant to their field and professional background May provide evidence of: (Select all that apply) □ Participation in high-impact research □ Patents or commercialization of discoveries □ Program development and leadership □ Dissemination of their work	
For promotion: ☐ Evidence of publication including first and last authorship positions as well as significant contributions as middle author.	
☐ Funding support is mainly from extramural-funded grants. (Rare exceptions)	
 □ Evidence of substantial research activities (vary with role) (Select all that apply) □ For Key Research team member or SRF directors: must show evidence of increasing contributions to and scholarship in area of expertise. □ Evidence of a defined, key role in acquisition of grant funding. □ Demonstrable impact on the research environment in the School (e.g. leading policy development and implementation; or identifying and overseeing provision of new equipment, technology and services broadly accessible to school users). 	
☐ Shows expanding external recognition of expertise and accomplishments.	
 □ Expected to demonstrate increasing service and leadership in administrative and policy-making functions of the institution and the broader scientific and medical communities. (Select all that apply) □ Substantial contributions to committees critical to the school's mission and affiliated hospitals (e.g. institutional biosafety committee). (Considered a plus) 	

		Supporting Evidence For each selected criterion, provide supporting evidence in the adjacent space. You may copy-paste directly from the
		source document or specify the page number and section heading. Include
		source details such as CV or Chair Statement.
	 Outstanding service in administrative leadership role (e.g. Vice-Chair, program Director, or Dean). (Considered a plus) 	
Comment	(
OVERVIEW Associate Professor	☐ Key member of a research team serving a critically important function in a SRF, a departmental core facility/research program, or have an influential institutional role that advances the School's research mission.	
	 Expected to play an important role to obtaining funding for research projects of multiple investigators. 	
	 Expected to have publications that demonstrate contributions to the body of scientific knowledge by their research team or their core facility. 	
	☐ May be involved in developing or implementing innovative approaches in their areas of expertise.	
	☐ Recognized beyond the institution for contributions	
	to the success of a large research program or leadership of a core facility.	
Comment		
Preliminary Decision	Does this candidate meet overview criteria for this trac	
DIVERGITY 0	If no, then stop and contact DOM APT Administrative S	taff (DOMFacAffairs@mssm.edu)
DIVERSITY & INCLUSION	 ☐ Contributes to creating and promoting a diverse and inclusive environment within and beyond home department. (Select all that apply) ☐ Develops and/or participates in programs to 	
	increase diversity.☐ Introduces innovations that encourage equity and inclusiveness.	
	☐ Serves on committees aimed at improving diversity, inclusion and other strategies.	
Comment		
SERVICE, PROFESSSIONALISM, MENTORING &	 Strong record of professionalism and good citizenship. 	
CITIZENSHIP	☐ Demonstrates commitment to service within the department, school, health systems or external organizations by active participation in committees	

		Supporting Evidence For each selected criterion, provide supporting evidence in the adjacent space. You may copy-paste directly from the source document or specify the page number and section heading. Include source details such as CV or Chair Statement.
	and fulfillment of administrative responsibilities.	
	\square Excellent role model and mentor to faculty,	
	postdoctoral fellows and staff.	
Comment		
IMPORTANT CONSIDERATIONS	 Appointment and Term Appointment and promotion to the rank of Associate Professor is upon recommendation by the Department Chair with subsequent approval by the Committee on Appointments, Promotions and Tenure. The term of appointment can be 1, 2 or 3 years. Reappointment and Non-Reappointment: In the absence of an employment contract, the Chair will provide notice of reappointment or non-reappointment. Terms can be 1, 2, or 3 years. Reappointment to a term longer than 1 year requires review and approval by the Office of the Dean. No limit on reappointments. If a decision to reappoint a faculty member is made during a term extension as described, the reappointment notice must be in writing from the Chair. The reappointment will commence retroactive to the first day following completion of the original term of appointment. In the event of non-reappointment, the Chair will provide written notice. Minimum of 6 months on 1 or 2-year terms; Minimum one-year notice on terms more than 2 years. Tenure: 	
	 Under exceptional circumstances, Associate Profesconsidered for tenure. Must exceed the requirements for appointment or 	promotion for this rank and track.
	 Career must reflect continuous and sustained according Must have a record of independent research (whe leader of an SRF. 	•
	Must show evidence by extramural funding support	rt and original publications.
	Must be nationally recognized for their contribution	-
	 The recommendation of exceptionally qualified factime by the Chair. 	culty for tenure may be made at any
	 Requires approval by the Committee on Appointm 	ents, Promotions and Tenure.
	Promotion:	all a alternative to a
	 The Chair may, at any time, recommend exception the rank of Research Professor. 	ally qualified faculty for promotion to
TRACK SWITCH	☐ No Federal Funding	
CRITERIA	☐ Expired Grant Funding	

^{*}If incomplete, template will be returned

Reviewer Decision
☐ UNDECIDED (Requires Discussion)
☐ Support Request for Appointment/Promotion
☐ Deny Request for Appointment/Promotion
☐ Support Request for Tenure
☐ Deny Request for Tenure
Other reviewer recommendations:
Track Switch: Yes No
If Yes, select Track: Select a Track
Secondary appointments in other departments: $\ \square$ Yes $\ \square$ No
If yes, select Department: Select a Department
,,
Committee Decision
☐ Support Request for Appointment/Promotion
☐ Deny Request for Appointment/Promotion
☐ Support Request for Tenure
☐ Deny Request for Tenure
Other committee comments:
Track Switch: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, select Track: Select a Track
Secondary appointments in other departments: Yes No
If yes, select Department: Select a Department
☐ Call division chief
☐ Check with APT committee chair Dr. Jonathan Halperin
·
FINAL DECISION: Choose an item.
DENY REQUEST - Reason for Denial:
1.
2.
3.
Recommended CV edits (Note: this will be forwarded directly to the candidate so please provide full
4.
5.
sentences): 1. 2.
3.

Additional Comments for APT Chair/Administrative Staff:

1.

5

RESUBMISSION COMMENTS	
Initial Date Submitted: Click or tap to enter a date.	
For APT Committee Leadership Use only	