DOM APT Reviewer Checklist Research Track PROFESSOR

Candidate Name:

Submission Type: Select Submission Type

- □ Appointment
- □ Promotion
- □ Tenure

Site: Select a Site

Review Date: Click or tap to enter a date.

Division: Select a Division Secondary Department: N/A

Current Rank: Select Rank Current Track: Select Track Proposed Rank: Select Rank Proposed Track: Select Track

Reviewer Name: Select Reviewer

<u>SUMMARY</u> (In 5 sentences or less, outline the faculty member's background and expertise to provide context to the evaluation)

		Supporting Evidence For each selected criterion, provide supporting evidence in the adjacent space. You may copy-paste directly from the source document or specify the page number and section heading. Include source details such as CV or Chair Statement.
OVERVIEW Across all ranks	 Scientist who is a key member of a research team, support the activities or multiple investigators or a Shared Resource Facility (SRF), or play a substantial institutional leadership role that broadly supports the institution's scientific enterprise. Contributes to innovative and entrepreneurial ways to his/her research programs and to the School. <u>Note:</u> A direct independent research program is not required. For Initial Appointment: (Only complete for Appointments, otherwise go to For Promotion section): Candidates from academia: Expected to have a record of role in publications. 	

	Supporting Evidence For each selected criterion, provide supporting evidence in the adjacent space. You may copy-paste directly from the source document or specify the page number and section heading. Include source details such as CV or Chair Statement.
Candidates from non-academic backgrounds: Based on metrics relevant to their field and professional background: May provide evidence of (Select all that apply) Participation in high-impact research. Patents or commercialization of discoveries. Program development and leadership. Dissemination of their work. For promotion: Evidence of publication including first and last	
authorship positions as well as significant contributions as middle author.	
Funding support is mainly from extramural-funded grants. (Rare exceptions)	
 Evidence of substantial research activities (vary with role): (Select all that apply) For Key Research team member or SRF directors Must show evidence of increasing contributions to and scholarship in area of expertise. Evidence of a defined, key role in acquisition of grant funding. Demonstrable impact on the research environment in the School (e.g. leading policy development and implementation; or identifying and overseeing provision of new equipment, technology and services broadly accessible to school users). 	
 Shows expanding external recognition of expertise and accomplishments. 	
 Expected to demonstrate increasing service and leadership in administrative and policy-making functions of the institution and the broader scientific and medical communities. (Select all that apply) Substantial contributions to committees critical to the school's mission and affiliated hospitals (e.g. institutional biosafety committee). (Considered a plus) 	

		Supporting Evidence For each selected criterion, provide
		supporting evidence in the adjacent space You may copy-paste directly from the source document or specify the page number and section heading. Include source details such as CV or Chair
	 Outstanding service in administrative leadership role (e.g. Vice-Chair, program Director, or Dean). (Considered a plus) 	Statement.
Comment		
OVERVIEW Professor	 Outstanding individual renowned for his/her expertise. Plays an indispensable and distinct senior role, whether as a member of a research team pursuing 	
	cutting edge science, serving as Director of an institutional SRF that is critical to advancing the boundaries of science, playing a critical departmental research leadership role, or occupying an important institutional research leadership position.	
	 Must play an essential role in obtaining extramural funding for multiple projects and/or groups. (<u>Note:</u> An independent extramural funding is not required) 	
	□ If part of an active research team, must demonstrate creative scholarship through extensive contributions to peer-reviewed publications with innovative and entrepreneurial approaches considered a plus.	
	 Expected to be recognized nationally through any of the following: (Select all that apply) Invited scientific presentations, publications, consulting. Use of a shared research facility by extramural scientists. Participation or leadership in Federal or other governmental advisory boards. Participation in the development and adoption of policies and innovative approaches at other academic or research institutions, governmental 	
	 entities or professional organizations. Demonstrates educational contributions through activities such as training other scientists to run a shared research facility, training other scientists to work independently, or providing educational support on institutional or extramural levels. 	
Comment		
Preliminary Decision	Does this candidate meet overview criteria for this trac	ck? 🗆 Yes 🔲 No

v.3.18.25

		Supporting Evidence For each selected criterion, provide supporting evidence in the adjacent space. You may copy-paste directly from the source document or specify the page number and section heading. Include source details such as CV or Chair Statement.
	If no, then stop and contact DOM APT Administrative S	Staff (DOMFacAffairs@mssm.edu)
SERVICE, PROFESSSIONALISM, MENTORING & CITIZENSHIP	 Possess a strong record of professionalism and good citizenship. Excellent role model and mentor. Active participant and/or leader in internal and external activities that advance the goals of the school and the profession. Holds significant administrative responsibility for a program. (Considered a plus) Participates in external professional organizations that further scientific discovery, champion integrity and disseminate information to other scientists or the lay public in his/her discipline or in broader fields 	
Comment	of science. (Considered a plus)	
IMPORTANT CONSIDERATIONS	 Appointment and Term Appointment and promotion to the rank of Professor are upon recommendation by the Department Chair with subsequent approval by the Committee on Appointments, Promotions and Tenure. The term of appointment can be 1,2,3,4 or 5 years. Reappointment and Non-Reappointment: In the absence of an employment contract, the Chair will provide notice of reappointment or non-reappointment. Terms can be 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5 years. Reappointment to a term longer than 1 year requires review and approval by the Office of the Dean. No limit on reappoint a faculty member is made during a term extension, the reappointment notice must be in writing from the Chair. The reappointment will commence retroactive to the first day following completion of the original term of appointment. In the event of non-reappointment, the Chair will provide written notice. Minimum of 6 months on 1 or 2 year terms; Minimum one-year notice on terms >2 years. Tenure: Under exceptional circumstances, Professors in the Research Track may be considered for tenure. Given absence of "up-or-out" requirement in this track and the unlimited opportunity for 	

	Supporting EvidenceFor each selected criterion, providesupporting evidence in the adjacent space.You may copy-paste directly from thesource document or specify the pagenumber and section heading. Includesource details such as CV or ChairStatement.	
	 Candidates for tenure must not only fulfill, but also far exceed the requirements for appointment or promotion to the rank of professor. Career must reflect sustained accomplishment. Must have a record of independent research (whether a member of a research team or leader of an SRF). Show evidence by extramural funding support and original publications. Must be nationally recognized for, and may also be internationally competitive in, their contributions to biomedical research. The recommendation of exceptionally qualified faculty for tenure may be made at any time by the Chair and requires approval by the Committee on Appointments, 	
TRACK SWITCH	Promotions and Tenure.	
*If incomplete, temple		

Reviewer Decision UNDECIDED (Requires Discussion) Support Request for Appointment/Promotion Deny Request for Appointment/Promotion Support Request for Tenure Deny Request for Tenure				
Other reviewer recommendations: Track Switch: Yes No If Yes, select Track: Select a Track				
Secondary appointments in other departments: If yes, select Department: Select a Department	🗆 Yes 🔲 No			
Committee Decision Support Request for Appointment/Promotion Deny Request for Appointment/Promotion Support Request for Tenure Deny Request for Tenure				
Other committee comments: Track Switch:				
Secondary appointments in other departments: If yes, select Department: Select a Department	🗆 Yes 🗆 No			
 Call division chief Check with APT committee chair Dr. Jonathan Halperin 				
FINAL DECISION: Choose an item.				
DENY REQUEST - Reason for Denial: 1. 2. 3.				

Recommended CV edits (Note: this will be forwarded directly to the candidate so please provide full sentences):

1. 2.

3.

4.

4. 5.

Additional Comments for APT Chair/Administrative Staff:

RESUBMISSION COMMENTS

Initial Date Submitted: Click or tap to enter a date.

For APT Committee Leadership Use only